

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/665,714	Applicant(s) SLOO ET AL.	
	Examiner Eric V Woods	Art Unit 2672	

All Participants:

(1) Eric V Woods.

(2) Emmanuel Rivera (45,760).

Date of Interview: 26 April 2005

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 2:20 p.m. EST

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-62

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)	_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)
-----------------------------------	--

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called applicant's representative to notify him of a restriction requirement -- claims 1-11 as Group I and claims 12-62 as Group II, with Group I directed to image processing and overlay and Group II directed to video processing. Applicant's representative elected Group I without traverse, resulting in the withdrawal of Group II claims from further examination..